

WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR THE NEWTOWN MASSACRE?

*Blessed is the man who listens to me, watching daily at my gates, waiting at my doorposts. For he who finds me finds life, and obtains favor from the LORD. But he who sins against me injures himself; **all those who hate me love death.***

Proverbs 8:34-36 NAS

I don't usually talk about current social events but tonight I'd like to share some thoughts on some statements that have been made with regards to the recent mass murder in an elementary school in Newtown, Connecticut. As you all probably know, on Friday morning a 20-year-old named Adam Lanza, who had mental problems, shot and killed his mother with her own gun, and then drove her car to Sandy Hook Elementary School and went on a shooting rampage, killing 26 people (including 20 children) before committing suicide.

A federal law enforcement official said the hand guns used by Adam Lanza—a Glock and a Sig Sauer—had been legally bought and registered by his 52-year-old mother, Nancy Lanza, who apparently liked to target shoot. Ryan Lanza, Adam's 24-year-old brother, was questioned and he said Adam was suffering from a personality disorder called "Asperger Syndrome". He told police that he had not been in touch with his brother since about 2010. A friend of the family, Mark Tambascio, said Nancy Lanza was a good-hearted person who was "always doing something for some cause" but she told him recently that Adam was "getting out of control and that she might need special help for him." Also, she recently told her baby sitter "not to turn her back on him".

This is just the latest in a series of mass shootings in the country this year, including Tuesday's assault by a lone gunman at a Portland, Oregon shopping mall which left two dead and one wounded. In an article called "Why School Shootings Still Happen", Samuel Blumenfeld recounts the major school shooting events in America since the Columbine tragedy back in 1999. [Link](#)

Of course, everyone is wringing their hands and demanding that something be done to stop these kinds of tragedies; but in order to stop them you must *first* identify the *cause*. The question of who or what is *responsible* for these senseless murders seems to always narrow down to *the weapons* these killers use.

Whenever a mass murder takes place in the country the gun "control" (read: confiscation) crowd goes into full swing, demanding stricter gun laws and Friday was no different. On Saturday, our Marxist/Muslim President, Barry Sotero-Hussain Obama, threatened to act by way of "executive order" to institute stricter gun laws if Congress refuses to do so. Each time one of these kinds of murders happens, more and more Americans succumb to the lie that *guns* are the fundamental problem—as if someone who is bent on going on a killing spree would not be able to do so if there were no guns available.

These same liberal idiots refuse to even look at, let alone consider, all the factual evidence that proves the more guns are restricted to the public in any given area, the more the crime rate climbs in *that* area. The fact is, left wing politicians and organization aren't interested in solving the problem and they don't care a bit about children being killed. Everyday, innocent children are killed (collateral damage) by our military drones around the globe and nobody in America cares. Every day, dozens of innocent children in Islamic countries, especially *Christian* children, are butchered by Muslim animals in the name of their demon-god, Allah and nobody in this country blinks an eye.

I contend that *the majority* of the politicians who are wringing their hands in front of the cameras because of what happened Friday morning are actually *glad* it happened! That's right! And this especially applies to the election thief who sits in the White House. President Hussain and all his Marxist buddies are happy every time one of these mass murders takes place because it puts them one day closer to their ultimate goal of banning *all* guns. These people are ruthless *sub-humans* with no conscience. Their driving motivation is to use whatever means they can to disarm the American people so it will be easier for the New American Police State to control us. This is the primary concern of patriots and people like Alex Jones, who had this to say about the situation:

Clip

Of course, we're more interested in what "Christian" leaders have to say about all this. Alex Jones has some good information about how the globalists are using America but he's not a Christian and he's not doing what he does for the sake of God's kingdom. His first concern is maintaining our political freedoms.

Reacting to Friday's news, Bryan Fischer, a Christian radio speaker, said it's no wonder these kinds of tragedies are happening in public schools, seeing how American schools have been rejecting *everything* that has to do with God or Christian morality for over 50 years.

Clip

Of course, a few left wing web sites immediately picked that up and began crucifying Mr. Fisher for daring to equate the rejection of God and Christian morality in public schools with the rise of violent crime and mass murder in those same schools. You can hear the entire portion of Mr. Fischer's show by going to his *Focal Point* web site. [Link](#) Look for the video entitled: "*When we had prayer in school, we didn't need guns 12/14/12*".

Later that day, Mike Huckabee was interviewed and said basically the same thing Mr. Fischer said and again, left wing blogs and commentators went ballistic. According to them, the whole problem is *the existence* of fire arms. So all we have to do to stop violent crime in America is confiscate all the guns. Of course, after you confiscate all the guns from law-abiding citizens, the *criminals* (who will still have *their* guns) will have a much easier time robbing and killing those unarmed citizens.

Later, as I was looking at what some of the "Apostasy Watchers" had to say, I noticed that our old friend Steve Lumbley had posted an article on his site by Rick Frueh, who was denouncing Mr. Huckabee. The title of the article is "[*Another Embarrassing Voice Heard From*](#)". [Link](#) Mr. Frueh starts off by saying:

"As is their want, the moralistic, patriotic voices rise to the occasion. **Instead of having a common sense conversation on gun control**, or better yet, a sharing of the importance of eternity, Mike Huckabee **pontificates about kicking God out of the schools**, If allowing a perfunctory prayer, led by many unbelievers, passes as "allowing" God in schools, then I would agree. As one who heard Bible readings as well as prayer in public schools when I was young, **it is worthless if not counterproductive**. I remember a very mean third grade teacher reading passages of Scripture and leading in a stilted prayer. **I hardly think that passes as allowing God in schools**. In fact, before we "allow" Him in schools, we might want to invite Him back in our churches.

As is so often the case with Mr. Frueh's self righteous tirades, he intentionally misrepresents what others say on any given subject in order to make his own views seem more Scriptural and right. This is one of the reasons I keep exposing him. The Scripture says God *despises* those who deliberately bear false witness against His people and Mr. Frueh is one of those people. He's constantly doing the Devil's dirty work for him by falsely accusing other believers and somebody needs to expose this liar for who he is. In this case, he intentionally misrepresents Mr. Huckabee's comments. This is what Mr. Huckabee actually said:

Clip

First of all, please notice that before saying anything about God being kicked out of America's schools, Mr. Huckabee said that if anything is to be done to stop this kind of carnage, it has to start with a "change" in the "hearts" of people.

"And so people will want to pass new laws, but unless you change peoples hearts...this is a heart issue. Laws don't change this kind of thing."

Secondly, he was referring to much more than just a "perfunctory prayer" when talking about the fact that God has been kicked out of our public schools. Again, what he said was:

"Should we be so surprised that schools would become a place of carnage because we've made it a place where we don't want to **talk about** eternity, life, what responsibility means; accountability, that we're not just going to have to be accountable to the police if they catch us, but one day we stand before a holy God and judgment."

The fact is, for many years, students in public schools were taught a "Christian ethic" and how important that Christian ethic is to maintaining a civilized society. Excuse me Mr. Frueh but much more than perfunctory prayer and dead Scripture reading has been systematically purged from the public schools over the last 50 years. Every Christian principle, as well as every bit of Christian *morality* has been mocked, denigrated and replaced with a humanistic system that is "a-moral" (ie: everything is relevant, there is no absolute right and wrong).

Next, Mr. Frueh (once again) demonstrates his complete ignorance of American History and the actual beliefs of the Founding Fathers regarding *religious* freedom. The point of his next comment in the article is that if we allow "Christian" prayer and "Bible" reading back in public, we would also have to allow Muslim prayer and Koran reading in public schools. Then he says those Christian prayers would not be able to mention Jesus but would have to be "Deist" prayers because all the Founding Father's were Deists.

"Note: **As per the Constitution**, the **Koran would have to be read as well**, and prayers would have to be **of the deist variety** lest they stray from the pluralistic construct of those founding fathers. Jesus, who is God, was not allowed anywhere in the Declaration of Independence or the Constitution. That may be a good place to start."

Mr. Frueh is not only ignorant of American history, he *also* seems to be ignorant of what's currently happening in the nation. Right now, Muslim prayers and public reading of the Koran *are* being implemented (illegally) in public schools all across the country. Special prayer rooms for Muslim children are being built in more and more public schools each month. Muslim school children are being given special "prayer times" during the day in more and more public schools. "Halal" food—the Muslim equivalent of Jewish "Kosher" food—is being implemented in more and more public school cafeterias.

America kicked the God of *Abraham, Isaac and Jacob* out of it's public schools 50 years ago and replaced Him with *amoral* Socialism and Communism. Now they are inviting the wicked and violent god of *Esau and Ishmael* into the classrooms so our children will become *clones* of the Dark One who sits in the Oval Office. But I'm sure none of this bothers Mr. Frueh because you see, his main concern and focus is on any *Christian* who doesn't think the way *he* thinks.

As far as the Constitution and what the Founding Fathers believed, the fact that he makes the kind of assertions that he does, shows very clearly that he doesn't understand the kind of liberty the Founding Fathers taught. They distinguished between liberty and license in all areas of public life. The liberty they promoted was a liberty *constrained by* virtue, morality and self control—and you don't have to be a "born again" Christian to have these kinds of characteristics. In fact, up until just a few generations ago, the majority of *unsaved* Americans still possessed a certain measure of these qualities. That's why our society has *not* collapsed until recently.

The "liberty" today's deluded Americans propagate—which Mr. Frueh continually says is the kind of liberty America's founders propagated—is a counterfeit liberty, based solely on the right of the individual to choose. This is why today's view of liberty has no *moral* restraints and often violates the rights of others, or engages in criminal activity, or undermines American society, or seeks to overthrow our unique form to government.

That this is the case becomes obvious when you read what Jefferson said about religious liberty. He said: "*The declaration that religious faith shall be unpunished does not give impunity to criminal acts dictated by religious error.*" Got it? The free exercise of religious liberty has boundaries and one of those boundaries is the law. We are not free to commit crimes under the guise of religious liberty.

He also said: "*If anything pass in a religious meeting seeditiously and contrary to the public peace, let it be punished in the same manner and no otherwise than as if it had happened in a fair or market.*" Again, he said: "*It is time enough for the rightful purposes of civil government, for its officers to interfere [in the propagation of religious teachings] when principles break out into overt acts against peace and good order.*" Got it? Not only we not free commit crimes under the guise of religious liberty, we are also not free to practice sedition or destroy the public order and peace under the guise of religious liberty.

Jefferson also said: "*Whatsoever is lawful in the Commonwealth or permitted to the subject in the ordinary way cannot be forbidden to him for religious uses; and whatsoever is prejudicial to the Commonwealth in their ordinary uses and, therefore, prohibited by the laws, ought not to be permitted to churches in their sacred rites. For instance, it is unlawful in the ordinary course of things or in a private house to murder a child; it should not be permitted any sect then to sacrifice children. It is ordinarily lawful (or temporarily lawful) to kill calves or lambs; they may, therefore, be religiously sacrificed. But if the good of the State required a temporary suspension of killing lambs, as during a siege, sacrifices of them may then be rightfully suspended also. This is the true extent of toleration.*"

Mr. Jefferson wasn't the only one who was intolerant of harmful or criminal practices done in the name of religious freedom. Founding Father Samuel Adams, who was a leader in the fight against British colonial rule, a signer of the Declaration of Independence and a cousin of John Adams (another Founding Father who became the second President of the United States), had this to say about religious freedom:

"In regard to religion, mutual toleration in the different professions thereof is what all good and candid minds in all ages have ever practiced, and, both by precept and example, inculcated on mankind. And it is now generally agreed among Christians that this spirit of toleration, in the fullest extent consistent with the being of civil society, is the chief characteristic mark of the Church. Inasmuch that Mr. Locke has asserted and proved, beyond the possibility of contradiction on any solid ground, that such toleration ought to be extended to all **whose doctrines are not subversive of society**. The only sects which he thinks ought to be, and which by all wise laws **are excluded from such toleration, are those who teach doctrines subversive of the civil government under which they live.**"

*The Rights of the Colonists;
1772 Report of the Committee of Correspondence*

When you read the documents and letters of the men who founded this nation, there's no way to get around the historical fact that *all* of them viewed religious freedom the same way: the mutual toleration in the different professions is to be "extended to all whose doctrines and practices are not criminal, are not subversive of the peace and decent order of society, and do not seek to overthrow the government.

NOTE: Since everything about the religion of Islam and the teachings in the Koran goes *beyond* the limits of toleration assigned by the Founders, we can say with assurance that they would *never* have tolerated rise of Islam in America, much less allow it to be taught in public schools! In all probability they would have *outlawed* Islam altogether because it is so diametrically opposed to American Law and true religious liberty.

How can anyone in their right mind look at the mountain of historical writings and documents of the nation's Founders regarding liberty, and particularly religious liberty, and then conclude that they would have tolerated gross immorality like pedophilia (sex with children), or the rape of infidel woman, or many of the other brutalities that are part and parcel of Islam, under the guise of freely practicing one's religion?

How can any sane person read what these men said about the individual's duty to his country, or his responsibility to behave in a way that does not threaten the peace and good order of society or the American government, and then walk away thinking that American Communists have a "right" to plan the overthrow of the Republic (by instituting Socialism and Marxism), or that American Muslims have a "right" to overthrow the Republic (by instituting Sharia Law)? Yet, this is exactly what today's deluded Americans believe and this is *precisely* the view Mr. Frueh accuses the Founding Fathers of espousing. This is why he says the Constitution would give Islam and Muslim prayers equal time in public schools. Next, he says:

“Will there ever come a time where professing believers **quit lifting up the Dagon of morality and nationalism** and suggest that they are God? The shooter’s mother was somewhat of a doomsdayer type, but was also a law abiding citizen who owned several firearms and who taught her children how to fire them. The shooter was sometimes home schooled. Don’t those kind of credentials represent those of Huckabee?”

Excuse me Mr. Frueh but exactly how does telling the truth about today’s schools—which is that they’ve become Marxist warehouses—equal “lifting up the Dagon of morality and nationalism”. How does telling the truth about today’s schools equal suggesting that morality and nationalism “are God”?

Nobody is suggesting that teaching young children the difference between right and wrong (morality), or teaching them about personal responsibility and accountability, or telling them there is a *Creator* (teaching Creationism), which also teaches them the value of human life, or reading the Scripture to them each morning, or posting the Ten Commandments on the walls of the schools, or having them pray to the God of the Bible is the same as evangelizing them. What they’re saying is that teaching young children these things *helps to restrain evil* in society. But since you have been deceived, you say teaching young children all these things is not only worthless but counter-productive in *restraining* evil in society.

All a person has to do in order to verify the statements of people like Mike Huckabee is look at the statistics put out by our (unsaved, God-hating) government each year regarding the crime rate of young people. Our unsaved government will tell you the truth quicker than Pastor Rick Frueh will! It will tell you that since Christian morality and influence was removed from public schools, starting in the 1960’s, rape, murder, teen pregnancy, abortion and all types of violent crimes have risen between 300% and 400% among school children!

Now what kind of “pastor” would say that removing Christian morality and influence from schools has *nothing* to do with the rising crime rate among young children? What kind of “Christian” would say that teaching young children the things they were taught before 1962 is not only worthless but counter-productive when it comes to restraining evil in society? Mr. Frueh’s mind is obviously under the control of wicked spirits. He talks like a lunatic, yet in his own eyes I’m quite sure he’s convince he’s right and everyone who disagrees with him is deceived and part of the evil “religious right”. Next, he says:

“As I said, I grew up in public school classrooms where God “was still allowed in”. We listened as the teacher read the Bible, primarily from the Psalms or Proverbs, We bowed our heads and listened to the same, stale prayers. And **I never heard one single peep about Jesus emanate from the lips of any of my teachers.**

So that is his idea of God being allowed in the classroom? Which God does He know? **Until I was almost 22 years old I had never heard the phrase “born again”.** What does that tell you? That says that in many people’s view, the [cultural] war is having some symbolic relics practiced in the classroom representing God. Nothing could be further from the truth. Back in those days we practiced morality and tradition. No Spirit, no presence, and no spiritual reality. Just dead, dry religion. But people demand we return to those days.

Excuse me Mr. Frueh but it's *not* the job of the public school to "evangelize" it's students. The duty of evangelizing our children falls on the shoulders of the parents and then the church. The function of public schools is to teach the children the true history and heritage of the nation, how to read, write, and develop other skills that will be needed to function as adults in American society, teach them how to be good citizens, and instill in them a sense of right and wrong. Next, he says:

"Like Jerry Falwell and Pat Robertson suggesting 9/11 was because of the gays and abortion, now Huckabee suggests that **God is offended when we removed some religious rituals from the school system.**

God wasn't offended when the founding fathers **refused to include the name of Jesus in their original documents?** Is Huckabee suggesting that God was angry and used this event to show His displeasure with the public schools? Or was he saying that if these kindergarteners would have prayed in their classroom God would have protected them? **His views are both nonsense and disturbing.**

Once again, Mr. Frueh reduces Mr. Huckabee's statement about God no longer being "in" public schools to the (false) idea of teachers exercising a few (worthless) "religious rituals" like prayer and Bible reading every morning. But the fact is, when God was "in" our public schools, there was a whole lot more being done by teachers than reading a scripture and giving a dead prayer each morning.

For one thing, when God was "in" our schools, kids were taught the *true* history of our nation and God, as well as the values and morals of Christianity, were a central part of that history. Again, when God was "in" our schools, kids were taught the difference between right and wrong, based on the morals and values of the Bible and Christianity. When God was "in" our schools, kids were taught to respect their parents, their teachers, the laws of the land, and grown-ups in general. When God was "in" our schools, kids were able to be punished when they didn't behave. When God was "in" our schools, kids were taught to be good *citizens*, not good Marxists.

Trying to reduce the historical influence of Christian morality "in" the classroom to perfunctory prayer and dead Scripture reading is the *same* as outright lying. Mr. Frueh is a liar because his "lord" is not the Jesus of Scripture; it's a counterfeit Jesus. Satan is "the accuser of the brethren" (*Rev. 20:10*) and Mr. Frueh not only accuses the brethren continually, often he deliberately bears *false* witness against them! He's a deluded pastor who presents himself as a crusader for truth and that makes him very dangerous to the body of Christ.

Mr. Frueh also asks the question—"was Mr. Huckabee saying that if these kindergarteners would have prayed in their classroom God would have protected them?" No! That's not what Mr. Huckabee was saying, Mr. Frueh. If you had ears to hear and eyes to see, you'd know he was saying that if public schools would not have deliberately replaced Christian morality with godless Marxism, homosexuality and witchcraft in our schools 50 years ago, those schools would not be producing mass murderers today!

If you think such a view is "nonsense and disturbing" it's only because you are deceived. The correlation between replacing Christian morality with godless Marxism in our schools, and the rise in violent crime in those same schools today, is undeniable. None are so blind as those who *refuse* to see! Next, Mr. Frueh says:

"The horror of Friday was **because of sin**. The Scriptures tell us that **wickedness will increase** in the last days. Of course **the current gun laws are insane**, but at the root of it all is sin.

What brilliant "insight" Rick! Yes, wickedness will increase in the last days but it doesn't increase by osmosis. Someone is *responsible* for that increase. There are things that take place in our society which *cause* the increase of evil in the last days. Specifically, the increase of evil in America's public schools didn't happen over night and it didn't take place in a vacuum. Things were done—and done deliberately—by progressives (your new friends) atheists, humanists, sexual perverts (pedophile's, faggots, bull dikes and trans-sexual's) as well as Marxists, to *increase* the evil in public schools.

To be more specific, Christian morality, the value of human life, and the concept of self denial were deliberately replaced with Marxist *a-morality* and atheistic *self-obsession*. Creationism—which includes the concept of the value of every human life, as well as the knowledge that there is a Creator who is holy and who holds us accountable for our actions—was replaced with the lie of Evolution, which teaches survival of the strongest and the *valuelessness* of human life (we're all animals and the descendants of animals).

Notice that this is the second time Mr. Frueh mentioned gun control. In the beginning of his article he said: "*Instead of having a common sense conversation on gun control...Mike Huckabee pontificates about kicking God out of the schools.*" Now he says: "*Of course the current gun laws are insane.*"

Please explain *why* our current gun laws are insane, Mr. Frueh. Americans have owned guns since the founding of the nation. Many American homes contained several fire arms, both for hunting and for protection. Why is it that senseless mass murder is only *now* (since the 1960's) rising it's ugly head?

We've had semi-automatic and automatic weapons since the late 1800's, Mr. Frueh but not until fairly recently have these weapons been used to commit mass murder of innocent civilians and children. Is it the nature of guns that has changed; or is it the nature of the American people that has changed? And speaking of "gun laws"—do you think the gun laws of Connecticut are "insane" Mr. Frueh?

Connecticut has come of the most restrictive laws on the books, yet it was *there* that this tragedy happened. Would stricter gun laws in Connecticut have prevented Friday's massacre? Would a complete ban on all guns have prevented it? Do you think Adam Lanza would not have been able to get a gun illegally if he really wanted to, before going on his killing spree? How many of Connecticut's "gun laws" do you think Adam Lanza broke Friday morning?

When are you gun-grabbing idiots going to realize that once guns are outlawed for law-abiding citizens, the outlaws will *still* have *their* guns and there will be plenty of guns available for as many criminals who want them! When are you going to realize that the average response time to a shooting like happened on Friday morning is 20 minutes. How many people can a criminal with an illegally purchased automatic weapon shoot in 20 minutes? And don't take comfort in that 20 minute response time because it's going become much, much longer over the next few years because of the tanking economy. It was just the other week that the City Attorney of San Bernardino, California warned the residents of that county to "lock their doors and load their guns" because of police down-sizing! [Link](#) Starting this January 1st, we're going to see a *lot more* "police down-sizing" as townships, boroughs and counties across the nation go bankrupt. Still feel safe? Next, Mr. Frueh says;

“Go ahead, blame the godless liberals. Perhaps this kid was gay. That would be an additional target for those on the mountain called Hubris located in the land of the religious right.

Prey tell, Mr. Frueh: if “godless liberals” didn’t transform America’s public schools into Marxist warehouses, who did? Are you going to seriously *deny* that it was godless liberals who removed the knowledge of God and all things Christian from our schools and replaced them with *amoral* Humanism and Marxism? Are you going to seriously deny it was “godless liberals” who introduced radically pro-homosexual and pro-witchcraft curriculums in our schools; or that it was “godless liberals” who intentionally expunged the true (Christian) history of our nation and replaced it with a New World Order version and curriculum?

Why shouldn’t we blame godless liberals for the condition of our public schools and the kinds of animals they produce? If “godless liberals” didn’t transform America’s public schools into Marxist warehouses, please tell us who did? Was it Christian politicians like Mike Huckabee? Was it Christian educators like Jerry Falwell? Was it Christian media operators like Pat Robertson?

Maybe a better question is: why does Mr. Frueh always seek to protect the wicked? Only a person who is *aligned with* godless liberals in his heart would try to protect them (from those nasty right-wing Evangelicals) and give them a pass regarding the condition of today’s public schools!

According to Mr. Frueh’s article then, the thing that is responsible for the mass killing on Friday is (the increase of) *sin*. In his great wisdom, he looks past all the usual suspects and says “sin” was responsible for the shooting. And as usual, he castigates anyone who *disagrees* with him.

The fact is, many things are responsible for what happened on Friday. The boy’s mental condition was partly responsible. The side affects from the medication he was taking was partly responsible. All the anti-Christian evil that’s taught in America’s classrooms—evolution, amorality, self obsession, witchcraft, sexual perversion and revenge (all of which turns an innocent young child into a violent, sex-crazed, self-obsessed animal) is also partly responsible. The extreme violence of the video games the boy continually played was also partly responsible. Refusing to allow teachers to carry guns in school for their own protection and the protection of their students was also partly responsible.

However, the *ultimate* responsibility for Friday’s tragedy falls on the mother of that boy because she *knew* he was getting dangerous. She also *knew* he was watching violent video games. She *knew* he could handle a gun because she taught him to shoot. Yet, she didn’t have him placed in some kind of facility for people in that condition. Being a typical American mother, she obviously *misjudged* how bad he had actually gotten.

The fact is, the responsibility for what happens to our children ultimately falls on the shoulders of the parents. When you bring a child into this world you are responsible for that child until such a time that he or she is able to make their own decisions. You are responsible for what that child watches, what it listens to, and what it learns. You are responsible to not let your child’s mind feast on evil and wickedness and violence and murder. If you allow your children to fill their minds with evil and violence, don’t be surprised when they commit evil and violent acts and don’t try to blame someone else for what happens. The old adage—“*we become what we behold*”—is more relevant today than ever and *the parents are responsible* to be sure the things their children are listening to and watching are not evil and violent.